

Environmental Studies Program: Ongoing Study

Title	Assessing the Impact of Seismic Airguns on Commercially and Recreationally-important Fish (GM-20-01b)
Administered by	New Orleans Office
BOEM Contact(s)	Mariana Steen (mariana.steen@boem.gov) and Erica Staaterman (Erica.Staaterman@boem.gov)
Procurement Type(s)	Cooperative Agreement
Conducting Organization(s)	Texas A & M University
Total BOEM Cost	\$260,000
Performance Period	FY 2021–2024
Final Report Due	October 2024
Date Revised	October 20, 2023
Problem	Research into impacts to fish and fisheries from seismic surveys is limited, and conclusions are inconsistent. By necessity, our environmental analyses have relied on research with limited applicability and we have not had consistent conclusions about impacts to fish. It is important to obtain more information for species that occur on the U.S. OCS to better inform our analyses and management decisions.
Intervention	Observing the behavior of valuable reef fishes exposed to commercial seismic surveys would enable BOEM to better assess potential effects on biologically important behaviors. Such information would enable BOEM to meet statutory obligations to assess the level of impact and, as appropriate, propose mitigation measures to lessen or avoid such effects.
Comparison	Observe the movements of adult fish and invertebrates in response to a full seismic array.
Outcome	The outcome will address current gaps in our understanding of impacts to a commercially and recreationally-important fish and invertebrate species. While the work would take place in the Pacific Northwest, this research is relevant to other regions.
Context	Gulf of Mexico planning areas.

BOEM Information Need(s): BOEM is required to consider the impacts of its activities on not just protected and managed species, but all species and ecosystems on the OCS. When it comes to seismic surveys, the most concerning impact-producing factor is noise. Noise can affect animals in a variety of ways - from physiological damage to stress responses to behavioral changes (Kight and Swaddle 2011). It is not clearly understood how fish (and their respective fisheries) are affected by such noise, particularly whether the effects are short-term or long-term. The fishing community has voiced its concern over pending seismic surveys, as they are unsure whether fish may vacate key fishing grounds or become damaged by the sounds. The International Association for Geophysical Contractors issued a statement assuring that impacts would only be short-term but cite a lack of adequate research characterizing long-term impacts.

Background: While initial concerns over noise were focused on marine mammals, there is mounting evidence that a wide range of marine taxa are sensitive to sound and could also be affected by anthropogenic noise. Early research on acoustic impacts focused on physiological effects, such as damage to air-filled structures or hearing loss. While such acute responses are indeed possible very close to the sound source, researchers are recognizing that other reactions, like a stress response or change in behavior, are more likely and would be more widespread. Repeated exposures to stressful events or disruption of key behaviors (e.g., feeding) can have negative effects on critical life functions and overall fitness (Wright et al., 2007).

The impacts of airgun noise on fish are potentially significant across all OCS regions. Impacts to fish with swimbladders are expected to be more widespread than those without, since the presence of this air-filled cavity can enable detection of acoustic pressure (a farther-range cue) rather than only particle motion (a shorter-range cue, Popper and Hawkins 2018). Red snapper, *Lutjanus campechanus*, is a common fish in the GOM and Atlantic, and supports important commercial and recreational fisheries. It serves as an ideal species for this type of study because it has a swimbladder, tends to aggregate in large groups, and is ecologically and economically relevant. While sound production has not yet been demonstrated in red snapper, it is possible, considering that other snappers do produce sound (Fish and Mowbray 1952). If this is the case, it means that it may use acoustic signals to coordinate the timing of spawning (as in many other fish species), and such activities could be affected by the presence of airgun noise. Grouper would be another good candidate species group, as they too have a swimbladder and are known to be acoustically-active (Sanchez et al., 2017). The rest of this proposal focuses on red snapper, but a similar experimental design could be undertaken with grouper or other reef fish species. The decision about which species to focus on would depend on their prevalence at the sites that the seismic survey will cover.

Understanding the response of fishes to seismic airguns has important implications for the fishing industry, but research on impacts to commercial catch rates have generally focused on short-term impacts (e.g., hours to days, Hirst and Rodhouse 2000). For example, Lokkeborg and Soldal (1993) found that catch rates of cod decreased near seismic surveys, but returned to pre-shooting levels within about 12 hours, suggesting that cod initially moved away from the survey area but were not permanently displaced. Skalski et al., (1992) showed an immediate significant decline in catch rates in a hook-and-line fishery, but the long-term reaction of rockfish was not measured. Engas et al., (1996) found that the density of cod and haddock decreased after seismic shooting, and while trawl catch rates did not return to pre-shooting levels within five days after acoustic exposure, longline catch efforts did begin to rebound. These studies demonstrate mixed results, and it is important to recognize that longer-term effects (e.g., over weeks to months) have not been measured.

Objectives: The objectives of this study are to:

- 1) measure potential changes in behavior (e.g., location, depth, schooling, duration of behavioral change) when free-swimming fish and invertebrates are exposed to seismic airguns, and;
- 2) frame these results in terms of potential impacts to the fishery.

Methods: Red snapper and other reef-associated species tend to aggregate at natural and artificial reefs in the GOM. We will focus this study on a reef that is expected to be surveyed or in the vicinity of a survey (within ~1-2 km) to measure impacts of a full-scale seismic array.

An array of Vemco acoustic receivers will be deployed around the research site. Depending on water depth, some of these may be mounted on the bottom while some may need to be mounted on sub-surface buoys mid-way through the water column to maximize potential fish detections. These receivers can record data for approximately six months, so they will be deployed approximately three months before the survey is planned to take place. A passive acoustic recorder will be deployed within the approximate center of the Vemco array to measure the received level of the airguns at the center of the site. Although a single recorder cannot adequately sample the entire area, it can provide a basis of comparison for before-during-after the passage of the survey. A glider with a hydrophone can survey the entire site to help broaden spatial coverage of the sound field.

At least 50 adult fish of a targeted species (snapper or possibly grouper) will be captured and tagged at least 30 days before the planned survey. Roughly half of these animals will be tagged with traditional acoustic tags, which transmit their location when they pass within range of one of the receivers. The other half will be tagged with next-generation Vemco tags which transmit the fish's history of acceleration and depth. This information will be critical for understanding fine-scale movements as the survey passes overhead.

As a form of control, observe behavior changes of tagged individuals from a similar-sized ship passing without seismic/airgun noise. This will allow the researchers to tease apart airgun noise-related changes from 'regular' ship presence and noise.

Approximately three months after the survey concludes, the receivers will be retrieved and movement data will be analyzed. Data analysis from the pre-survey period should demonstrate site fidelity (i.e., size of home range) of fish at the site, as well as an analysis of "normal" vertical movements. Approximately three months after the survey concludes, the Vemco receivers should be retrieved and movement data analyzed. Data analysis will focus on individual and population-level movements before, during, and after the passage of the survey.

Specific Research Question(s):

1. Do fish leave the study area when the seismic vessel approaches? If so, how long does it take them to return to the area (if ever)?
2. Do fish exhibit erratic swimming or changes in schooling behavior or depth as the survey passes overhead? If so, how long does it take them to return to natural (pre-seismic) behaviors?

Current Status: Ongoing. During December 2022, acoustic receivers and one passive acoustic recorder were deployed, and a total of nine fish (five red snapper and four *seriola* spp.) were fitted with acoustic tags at an oil and gas platform in the northern GOM to collect baseline data before the passage of seismic airgun survey. During April 2022, a total of 21 fish (six greater amberjack, seven red porgy, six red snapper, and two almaco jacks) were fitted with acoustic tags and additional acoustic receivers and an additional passive acoustic recorder were deployed shortly before the passing of a seismic airgun survey. Some field equipment has been retrieved, data are being analyzed, and an extension has been granted through October 2024.

Publications Completed: none

Affiliated WWW Sites: none

References:

Engas, A., S. Lokkeborg, E. Ona and A. V. Soldal (1993). Effects of seismic shooting on catch and catch-availability of cod and haddock. Bergen (Norway), Norwegian Institute of Marine Research: 117.

Engas, A., S. Lokkeborg, E. Ona and A. V. Soldal (1996). "Effects of seismic shooting on local abundance and catch rates of cod (*Gadus morhua*) and haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*)." *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 53: 2238-2249.

Fish, M. P., A. S. Kelsey and W. H. Mowbray (1952). "Studies on the production of underwater sound by north Atlantic coastal fishes." *Journal of Marine Research* 11: 180-193.

Hirst, A. G. and P. G. Rodhouse (2000). "Impacts of geophysical seismic surveying on fishing success." *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 10: 113-118.

Kight, C. R. and J. P. Swaddle (2011). "How and why environmental noise impacts animals: an integrative, mechanistic review." *Ecology Letters* 14(10): 1052-1061.

Lokkeborg, S., E. Ona, A. Vold and A. Salthaug (2012). "Effects of sounds from seismic air guns on fish behavior and catch rates." *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology* 730: 415-419.

Lokkeborg, S. and A. V. Soldal (1993). "The influence of seismic exploration with airguns on cod (*Gadus morhua*) behaviour and catch rates." *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 196: 62-67.

Popper, A. N. and A. D. Hawkins (2018). "The importance of particle motion to fishes and invertebrates." *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 143(1): 470.

Sciara and V. Martin (2007). "Anthropogenic noise as a stressor in animals: a multidisciplinary perspective." *International Journal of Comparative Psychology* 20: 250-273.

Skalski, J. R., W. H. Pearson and C. Malme (1992). "Effects of sounds from a geophysical survey device on catch-per-unit-effort in a hook-and-line fishery for rockfish (*Sebastes* spp)." *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 49: 1357-1365.

Wright, A. J., N. Aguilar Soto, N. A. Baldwin, M. Bateson, C. M. Beale, C. Clark, T. Deak, E. F. Edwards, A. Fernandez, A. Godinho, L. T. Hatch, A. Kakuschke, D. Lusseau, D. Martineau, L. M. Romero, L. S. Weilgart, B. A. Wintle, G. Notarbartolo-di-Sciara and V. Martin (2007). "Anthropogenic noise as a stressor in animals: a multidisciplinary perspective." *International Journal of Comparative Psychology* 20: 250-273.