OCS Study BOEM 2018-029

Field Observations During Wind Turbine Foundation Installation at the Block Island Wind Farm, Rhode Island

Appendix E1: Seafloor Monitoring Survey 1 Report



US Department of the Interior Bureau of Ocean Energy Management Office of Renewable Energy Programs



Field Observations During Wind Turbine Foundation Installation at the Block Island Wind Farm, Rhode Island

Appendix E1: Seafloor Monitoring Survey 1 Report

May 2018

Authors (in alphabetical order):

Jennifer L. Amaral, Robin Beard, R.J. Barham, A.G. Collett, James Elliot, Adam S. Frankel, Dennis Gallien, Carl Hager, Anwar A. Khan, Ying-Tsong Lin, Timothy Mason, James H. Miller, Arthur E. Newhall, Gopu R. Potty, Kevin Smith, and Kathleen J. Vigness-Raposa

Prepared under BOEM Award Contract No. M15PC00002, Task Order No. M16PD00031 By HDR 9781 S Meridian Boulevard, Suite 400 Englewood, CO 80112

U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Ocean Energy Management Office of Renewable Energy Programs





FUGRO

Seafloor Disturbance and Recovery Monitoring Program Survey No. 1 May 2016 Block Island Wind Farm, USA

Fugro Job No.: 04.81150001 Task Order No. 3, 0000023102

September 7, 2016 HDR Environmental, Operations and Construction, Inc.



Document Number: 04.81150001-3





Seafloor Disturbance and Recovery Monitoring Program Survey No. 1 May 2016 Block Island Wind Farm, USA

September 7, 2016

Fugro Job No.: 04.81150001 Task Order No.: 3, 0000023102

Prepared for:

HDR 300 North Madison Street Athens, Alabama 35611 USA



01	Draft	Dean Gresham	Kevin Smith	Kevin Smith	September 7, 2016
Issue	Report Status	Prepared	Checked	Approved	Date





CONTENTS

1.	INTRO	DUCTION	1
1.1	Real-Ti	me Opportunity for Development Environmental Observations (RODEO) Program	1
1.2	Seafloo	or Disturbance and Recovery Monitoring	1
1.3	Block Is	sland Wind Farm	1
1.4	Purpos	e and Scope	2
1.5	Authori	zation	2
2.	DATA	COLLECTION, PROCESSING, AND INTERPRETATION METHODS	3
2.1	Survey	Overview	3
2.2	Hydrog	raphic Survey	3
3.	MULTI	TEMPORAL ANALYSIS OF SEAFLOOR CHANGE	4
3.1	Seafloo	or Disturbance Features	4
	3.1.1	Wind Turbine Generator 1	5
	3.1.2	Wind Turbine Generator 2	5
	3.1.3	Wind Turbine Generator 3	6
	3.1.4	Wind Turbine Generator 4	6
	3.1.5	Wind Turbine Generator 5	6
	3.1.6	Seafloor Disturbance between WTG Locations	6
3.2	Seafloo	or Disturbance Recovery	7
	3.2.1	Surficial Sediment Mobility	7
	3.2.2	Seafloor Recovery	8
4.	SUMM	ARY	9
5.	REFER	ENCES	11

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: Summary of Seafloor Disturbance Features

LIST OF FIGURES

Study Area	1
2016 Fugro Bathymetry	2a
Interpreted Seafloor Disturbance Features	2b
Approximate Vessel Locations: July to September 2015	3a
Approximate Vessel Locations: October to December 2015	3b
Approximate Vessel Locations: April to May 2016	3c
Wind Turbine No. 1 Bathymetry & Seafloor Disturbances	4a, 4b
Wind Turbine No. 2 Bathymetry & Seafloor Disturbances	5a, 5b
Wind Turbine No. 3 Bathymetry & Seafloor Disturbances	6a, 6b
Wind Turbine No. 4 Bathymetry & Seafloor Disturbances	7a, 7b
Wind Turbine No. 5 Bathymetry & Seafloor Disturbances	8a, 8b
Selected Seafloor Disturbance Features	9

HDR ENVIRONMENTAL, OPERATIONS AND CONSTRUCTION INC. BLOCK ISLAND WIND FARM, SEAFLOOR DISTURBANCE AND RECOVERY



Example of Ripple Bedforms	10
Example of Dune Bedforms	11
Surficial Sediment Mobility Comparison Showing 2012 Bathymetry	12
Surficial Sediment Mobility Comparison Showing 2016 Bathymetry	13
Interpreted Sedimentary Environments	14
Inferred Seafloor Recovery Example	15

LIST OF CHARTS

Fugro 2016 Bathymetric Survey	1
Fugro Bathymetric Survey Interpreted	2
Seafloor Disturbance Feature Catalogue	3
Interpreted Sedimentary Environments	4

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: BATHYMETRIC SURVEY VESSEL SPECIFICATIONS

Vessel Offset Diagram for the R/	/ Jamie Hanna A	4-1
----------------------------------	-----------------	-----

APPENDIX B: INSTALLATION VESSEL SPECIFICATIONS

L/B Robert Specifications	B-1
L/B Michael Eymard Specifications	B-2
L/B Lacie Eymard Specifications	B-3

APPENDIX C: CATALOG OF SEAFLOOR DISTURBANCE FEATURES

Seafloor Disturbance Features C-	-1	l
----------------------------------	----	---



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Real-Time Opportunity for Development Environmental Observations (RODEO) Program

The United States (U.S.) Department of Interior's Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) is responsible for managing the exploration and development of the nation's offshore energy resources. The BOEM conducts environmental reviews, including National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analyses, for each major stage (leasing, site assessment, construction, operations, and decommissioning) of proposed offshore energy development projects. Through these reviews and analyses, the BOEM evaluates potential environmental impacts from the proposed offshore activities on the human, coastal, and marine environments. The NEPA analysis is used to inform the decision-making process for whether and/or how to proceed with the approval of the offshore energy development.

To conduct the required analyses and effectively analyze the potential environmental impacts under NEPA, the BOEM requires data on impact-producing factors (stressors) and their effects on ecosystems and individual receptors. Development of offshore wind energy is new to the U.S.; therefore, data necessary for assessment of environmental impacts are not readily available. As a result, the BOEM has initiated the Real-Time Opportunity for Development Environmental Observations (RODEO) Program. The purpose of this program is to make direct, real-time measurements of the nature, intensity, and duration of potential stressors during the construction and/or initial operations of selected proposed offshore wind facilities.

Data collected under the RODEO Program may be used as input to analyses or models that are employed to evaluate effects or impacts from future offshore activities. The first facility to be part of the RODEO Program monitoring is the Block Island Wind Farm (BIWF) Project, which is located off the coast of Rhode Island.

1.2 Seafloor Disturbance and Recovery Monitoring

The seafloor can be disturbed by various activities during the construction and operational phases of a wind farm development. During construction, vessel anchoring activities and spud can penetrations may result in depressions in the seafloor. In addition, while a lift boat is positioned on site, scour can develop around the legs that penetrate the seafloor. Evidence of those impacts on the environment can disappear as sediment is reworked and transported due to natural processes after construction equipment is removed from the seafloor. The recovery rate from a seafloor disturbance primarily depends on sediment type, bottom current flow conditions (e.g. speed, duration, direction, etc.), and size of the disturbance feature.

The objective of repeated bathymetric surveys is to acquire multibeam data for use as a multi-temporal analysis tool to monitor for disturbance and recovery of the seafloor. This report summarizes the conditions observed during the first survey performed following the completion of the 2015 construction season. Additional bathymetric surveys are proposed for continued monitoring of the Block Island wind turbine construction area.

1.3 Block Island Wind Farm

Deepwater Wind (DW) recently constructed the BIWF located approximately five kilometers (km) southeast of Block Island, Rhode Island. The BIWF is comprised of five wind turbine generators with a



name-plate capacity of 30 megawatts (MW). Figure 1 presents the location of the BIWF and survey area.

During the 2015 construction season, DW installed the foundations of the five WTGs. Installation of the export cable, inter-array cables, WTG towers, nacelles, and blades were installed during the summer of 2016. Installation of the five WTGs was performed by several lift boats and jack-up barges. In addition, a variety of other support vessels were used to support construction activities. The lift boats used to install the WTG foundations were the L/B *Robert*, L/B *Lacie Eymard*, and the L/B *Michael Eymard*. Based on the layout and physical dimensions of each lift boats. A timeline of vessel movements (provided by DW), was then used to determine the timing of the specific seafloor disturbance at each location.

1.4 Purpose and Scope

This report presents the findings of the hydrographic survey conducted on May 11 and 12, 2016. WTG foundation construction works were completed in December 2016 and this survey represents the first post-construction survey. Hydrographic data collected during this survey were used to interpret seafloor disturbance features created during the foundation installation of the five wind turbines. Specifically, this seafloor monitoring will focus on:

- Sediment disturbances that may have resulted from construction vessel anchoring. Disturbed locations on the seafloor were mapped using high resolution multibeam. The depth and spatial extent of each disturbed location was also to be determined.
- Periodic multibeam surveys will be conducted to monitor seafloor recovery rates.
- Determining the amount of scour around the jacket foundations as well as surficial sediment movement and healing that has occurred since the end of the installation at each WTG location.

1.5 Authorization

Authorization for this work was provided by HDR Master Service Agreement No. MSA2015-1165, under task order TO 003, 0000023102, between HDR and Fugro, dated July 24, 2015.



2. DATA COLLECTION, PROCESSING, AND INTERPRETATION METHODS

2.1 Survey Overview

On May 11 and 12, 2016 Fugro conducted a hydrographic survey of the project area surrounding the Block Island wind turbine foundations that were installed during 2015. Foundation installation activities were completed in December 2015. Figure 1 and Chart 1 present the extent of the hydrographic survey. A detailed description of the survey vessel and instrument offsets can be found in Appendix A of this report.

All data in this report were projected in meters with the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) Zone 19 North coordinate system, using the World Geographic System of 1984 (WGS84) geodetic datum. The real-time navigation and position data were used as the geodetic control, receiving differential global navigation satellite system (GNSS) corrections in real-time via a G2 subscription to Fugro's OmniStar service. All real-time positioning data were converted to WGS84 (g1150) using an Applanix POS MV positioning system. This real-time positioning was used to process the multibeam survey lines. Horizontal positioning error at the vessel's CRP is estimated to be less than one meter (during optimal conditions).

Bathymetric data were reduced to mean lower low water (MLLW) based on the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) VDatum model (<u>http://vdatum.noaa.gov</u>). This model provides separation values from the GNSS ellipsoid down to the chart datum of MLLW for the survey area. These values were then applied to the bathymetry using the CARIS HIPS Compute GPS Tide routine. For a more detailed description of survey methods and calibration, refer to Appendix A.

2.2 Hydrographic Survey

The hydrographic survey was conducted using the chartered vessel R/V Jamie Hanna. The R/V Jamie Hanna is a 55-foot long purpose-built ocean-going vessel with a draft of seven feet. The vessel was equipped with a side mount for the echosounder transducer (Appendix A, Figure A-1). The hydrographic survey was conducted using a Reson SeaBat 7125 ultra-high resolution multibeam echosounder, designed to operate in water depths ranging from 0.5 meters to 300 meters. Reson states the vertical resolution of this instrument to be six millimeters; however, the nominal vertical resolution of post-processed data is likely to be closer to 10 centimeters (depending on sea state, tidal error, seafloor gradient, sounding position along track, and other factors).

Multibeam data were collected in WinFrog software and were visually monitored during the survey for quality assurance. The WinFrog *.s7k files were then brought into CARIS for bathymetric processing. Subsequently, corrections for vessel offsets, patch test calibration, and static draft measurements were input into the software. Sound Velocity Profiles (SVP) were then used to correct the bathymetric data for sound refraction or ray bending.

After each line was examined and cleaned in CARIS' Swath Editor, the tide corrections were loaded and the lines were merged. The merged dataset was then examined to identify tidal discrepancies, sound velocity errors, motion errors, and data gaps. Once all processing was completed, a digital terrain model (DTM) was generated with CARIS at a 0.5 meter bin size. The ASCII XYZ grid file of easting, northing, and depth values in meters was then output from CARIS for charting.



3. MULTI-TEMPORAL ANALYSIS OF SEAFLOOR CHANGE

Survey data collected after the first season of construction are used to interpret the locations and extents of the seafloor disturbance. The survey extent encompassed the area denoted by DW as the "Work Area." The Work Area was the primary area where construction vessels were positioned during construction. During our evaluation of the bathymetric data, we identified a few disturbance features that appeared to partially recover. To augment our evaluation of the data and assist in identifying areas of dynamic seafloor conditions, we incorporated available bathymetric data renderings from a survey conducted of the site in 2012. A report of a 2012 bathymetry survey performed by Ocean Surveys Incorporated (OSI) was provided to Fugro by DW to supplement this portion of the study. The raw and/or processed bathymetry data were not available; however, a bathymetry rendering was extracted from the report and georeferenced for a qualitative comparison with Fugro's May 2016 bathymetry survey.

This section of the report describes the interpreted seafloor disturbances, preliminary assessment of seafloor conditions and dynamics, and a discussion of areas already exhibiting recovery.

3.1 Seafloor Disturbance Features

On May 11 and 12, 2016 Fugro conducted a post-construction hydrographic survey to assess the seafloor conditions at the Block Island Wind Farm using a multibeam echosounder. The hydrographic survey was used to measure water depths in the BIWF Work Area and to determine the presence and extent of seafloor disturbance created by WTG construction vessels. Seafloor disturbance features were observable in the hydrographic survey data in the Work Area and were concentrated around each of the five WTG locations (Figure 2b and Charts 2 and 3). Figure 2b and Chart 2 presents the interpreted extents and classifications of the different types of seafloor disturbance features. The different types of disturbance features are classified based on the following:

- Spud: Circular depressions arranged in a pattern that match one of the lift boats and are generally located near a WTG. Likely created when a lift boat was on position during installation of a foundation or performing construction works (e.g. welding, painting, etc.) at the foundation.
- Circular Depression: Circular depression not associated with a geometric pattern that would have been created when a lift boat was on position and had all 3 or 4 legs deployed. Circular depression was generally located away from WTG position and may be related to a spud depression or anchor drop.
- Drag Mark: Elongated or linear disturbance feature likely created from the dragging of a spud leg or anchor.
- Scour: Scour feature that formed around the leg of the jacket foundation.

We interpreted 160 seafloor disturbance features that comprise an area of approximately 11,570 m². Circular depressions comprise the largest number of features, however, drag marks comprise the largest total area of the five different categories of features. Table 3.1 summarizes the number, area, and relative percentage of the Work Area that each category of feature comprised.

Table C-1 (Appendix C) describes each disturbance feature including size, depth, and attributed vessel if known.



Area and Interpreted Features	Area (m²)	Percentage of Work Area	Percentage of Disturbed Area	Number of Features
Work Area	7,277,390	100%	N/A	160
Total Disturbed Area	11,570	0.16%	100%	N/A
Spud	870	0.01%	8%	22
Circular Depressions	2,803	0.04%	23%	69
Drag Marks	6,414	0.09%	56%	44
Scour	1,483	0.02%	13%	25

Table 3.1: Summary of Seafloor Disturbance Features

By attempting to correlate seafloor disturbance features with the vessels that created them, a timeline of vessel movements and locations has been assembled from the Daily Briefings to Mariners reports on Figures 3a through 3c (as summarized below):

- Figure 3a: Vessel movements and locations for construction activities between July and September of 2015
- Figure 3b: Vessel movements and locations for construction activities between October and December of 2015
- Figure 3c: Vessel movements and locations for construction activities between April and May of 2016

3.1.1 Wind Turbine Generator 1

Wind Turbine Generator 1 is located in the northeastern-most section of the study area and is associated with the most well-resolved seafloor disturbances. Figures 4a and 4b present the local bathymetry and three interpreted types of seafloor disturbance: linear features, circular features, and scour depressions. The circular depressions are likely spud leg impressions from the L/B *Robert* which last worked on the site on November 3, 2015 (Figure 3a). Rectangular depressions are likely the footprint of the mud mats from the L/B *Michael Eymard* which worked on the site from April 26 through 30, 2016. These probable spud leg depressions range in depth from approximately ten to 40 centimeters and cover an area about 9 meters long and 6 meters wide. In addition to vessel activity, circular depressions identified as probable scour holes have developed around the legs of the WTG foundation. These 9 to 11-meterwide circular depressions range in depth from approximately five to ten centimeters. A relatively linear feature is also present at WTG 1 that could be attributed to a dragged spud leg.

3.1.2 Wind Turbine Generator 2

Wind Turbine Generator 2 is located in the northeastern section of the study area and displays very well-resolved seafloor disturbances. Figures 5a and 5b present the local bathymetry and two interpreted types of seafloor disturbance: circular depressions from vessel activities and scour depressions. Two different vessels appear to have left spud depressions at WTG 2. A smaller triangle of three-spud set of depressions appears to be the L/B *Michael Eymard* (whose depressions are approximately ten centimeters in depth). The other set of triangular spread three-spud depressions appears larger in dimension and could be the L/B *Robert*. Localized scour within a 9 to 12-meterradius



of each foundation leg can be observed at WTG 2; these depressions range from five to ten centimeters deep.

3.1.3 Wind Turbine Generator 3

Wind Turbine Generator 3 is located in the central section of the study area. Very few seafloor disturbances were observed in the vicinity of WTG 3. Figures 6a and 6b display the local bathymetry and the legs of a lift boat (most likely the L/B *Lacie Eymard*) working at the site during the survey, as well as scour holes around the legs of the lift boat and WTG foundation legs. Scour around WTG 3 is estimated to be less than ten centimeters in depth. WTG 3 is located in a slightly deeper channelized area of the seafloor where ripple bedforms are observed on the seafloor. This area could experience higher bottom current speeds, which maybe the reason that seafloor disturbances from the installation vessels aren't as apparent at this location.

3.1.4 Wind Turbine Generator 4

Wind Turbine Generator 4 is located in the southwestern section of the study area. Very few seafloor disturbances were observed at this location. Figures 7a and 7b display the local bathymetry and two types of seafloor disturbance at WTG 4. The first type of disturbance are irregularly shaped scour holes around each of the foundation legs and the legs of a lift boat (L/B *Michael Eymard*) which was on location during the survey. These irregular patterns show some scour tails that trend from southeast to northwest, indicating a possible current direction from the southeast. The deepest of these scour holes is approximately 20 to 25 centimeters. The second type of seafloor disturbance observed at WTG 4 were linear marks. Four relatively long linear disturbances were mapped; three of which trend northeast-southwest and one that trends southeast-northwest. The height of the wave ripples around WTG 4 range from five to ten centimeters; however, the linear features near the site are approximately ten centimeters deep. Although the linear scars are analogous with the depth of the wave ripples, they cross-cut the wave ripples and are readily apparent in the bathymetry data, indicating that they are anthropogenic disturbances.

3.1.5 Wind Turbine Generator 5

Wind Turbine Generator 5 is located in the southwestern-most section of the study area. Very few seafloor disturbances were delineated at this location. Figures 8a and 8b show the local bathymetry, no significant scour around the WTG foundation legs, and a few apparent linear features that are interpreted to represent acquisition artifacts in the bathymetry dataset. WTG 5 is located at a water depth of 23 meters, which is the shallowest of the locations. The high-energy environment associated with this water depth may prevent the preservation of seafloor disturbance features.

3.1.6 Seafloor Disturbance between WTG Locations

Additional seafloor disturbance features can be observed between the WTG locations, which are spaced approximately 0.8 km apart. The most notable of these features are linear drag marks ranging from approximately 150 to 200 meters in length (Figure 9 and Chart 2). Chart 2 shows the locations of some of these linear features around WTG 4, between WTG 1 and 2, and to the southeast of WTG 2. The location and orientation of these features are indicative of their origin. The features found along the proposed inter array cable route that are oriented northeast to southwest are most likely from vessels working during the installation of the BIWF. Although the drag marks were only ten to 20 centimeters in depth at the time of the survey, the depth of the features when they were created could



have been up to 40 centimeters (Panel A, Figure 9). Panel A on Figure 8 presents the most well-defined spud/mud mat depressions observed in the bathymetry data. These features are attributed to the L/B *Michael Eymard*, which was last on location at WTG 1 on April 30, 2016 (Figure 3c).

Other interpreted seafloor disturbance features (as seen on Figure 9, Panel C and Chart 2) that are not associated with a WTG location cannot be positively identified as belonging to either of the lift boats used during the installation. None of the features match the three-leg triangle pattern from the three lift boats working in the area and none of the depressions are wide enough to match the mud mat diameter of the lift boats. The shape and size of the features could have been modified by mobile sediment infilling; however, due to the lack of additional evidence, no positive vessel identity could be established. It is possible that these smaller features can be attributed to a spud crane barge (similar to the Weeks No. 526 barge that was active in the area during late 2015).

3.2 Seafloor Disturbance Recovery

The rate of recovery from the initial disturbance back to a natural seafloor is dependent on a variety of factors. Some of the main influences on seafloor recovery are bottom current speeds, surficial sediment mobility, and the influence of large storm events (which can drastically alter the normal flow conditions at a site). Seafloor features identified in the May 11 and 12, 2016 bathymetric survey represent the initial survey from which future bathymetric surveys will be compared to evaluate seafloor recovery and rates in the study area.

Seafloor morphology and bedforms develop in response to the interaction between bottom currents and seafloor sediments. Bedform type (e.g. ripple or dune) and size are dependent on the bottom current speed, flow direction(s), and sediment type. Stow et al. (2009) and Ashley (1990) have developed interrelationships between sediment type, current speeds, and bedforms. Furthermore, Van Rijn (1993) and Allen (1982) present relationships between bedforms, mobility, and sedimentary environments. As a first step in this study, we evaluate sediment mobility and sedimentary environments based on the bedforms observed in the May 2016 survey, available sediment type data, and a comparison with a survey conducted in 2012 of the area. Rates of seafloor recovery are anticipated to be directly related to sediment mobility. The following section describes the interpreted sediment mobility and recovery rates.

3.2.1 Surficial Sediment Mobility

Seafloor recovery after a disturbance is partially dependent on the mobility of the surficial sediments. Within the BIWF area, bedforms of varying scale were observed in the multibeam data. The profile view on Figure 10 presents some of the smaller wave ripples (on the order of five to ten centimeters in height) and Figure 11 presents some of the larger features (up to 0.5 meters in height). Movement of these 0.5-meter-high bedforms was observed between the 2012 bathymetric survey by OSI and the May 2016 Fugro survey. Figures 12 and 13 depict the same location at an identical scale to highlight the shift in bedforms between the two surveys. Panel A on Figures 12 and 13 present one of the larger examples of seabed mobility with a northwestward shift of a ridge form by approximately 15 meters and another (smaller) northwestward shift of eight meters on a proximal ridge. Panel A is located in the northern portion of the survey area and in slightly deeper water. Panel B, which is in slightly shallower



water, shows approximately 13 meters of geographic shift to the northwest on one of the ridge features that can be seen in both 2012 and 2016 datasets.

Based on these observations of bedform migration, the project area was classified into zones by bedform size and relative mobility rates. Some inferences were made as to the grain size of the surficial material in each zone. Figure 14 and Chart 4 illustrate the three different zones and two subzones; in addition, they are summarized below:

- Zone 1: High mobility sand ridges or shoal features that are approximately 0.5 meters high (compared to the surrounding lows of Zone 2). Interpreted to be comprised of fine sand. These sand ridge or shoal type features can be seen to shift between the 2012 and 2016 bathymetric data sets; however, the outline of each feature remains close and discernable in both datasets.
 - Zone 1a: Slightly higher mobility sand dune features located within Zone 1 that are approximately 1.0 meters in relief (compared to the surrounding lows of Zone 2). These sand dune features are interpreted to be composed of fine sand and are observed in both the 2012 and 2016 datasets; however, correlating each feature between the datasets is difficult due to the relatively high rate of change in position and shape.
- Zone 2: Moderate mobility areas which are interpreted to be comprised of medium to coarse sand size particles. These areas are seen in both the 2012 and 2016 datasets; however, due to the fact that they are relatively featureless, the boundaries cannot be correlated well in both datasets.
 - Zone 2a: Wave ripple fields which are moderately mobile and are comprised of medium to coarse sand particles. These wave ripple fields can be seen in both 2012 and 2016 datasets; however, the low resolution of the 2012 dataset precludes the use of these features in more detailed studies of the sediment mobility rates.
- Zone 3: Low Mobility glacial moraine area which is interpreted to include mainly coarse gravel and cobbles. This glacial moraine area is seen in both 2012 and 2016 datasets and was not observed to shift between the two.

3.2.2 Seafloor Recovery

In addition to variable sediment mobility rates across the study area, differential sediment recovery can be observed in some areas around the BIWF. Linear seafloor features near WTG 4 (interpreted to be drag marks from a spud leg) were observed to cross multiple seafloor textures (Figure 15). The long, linear features located to the west of WTG 4 were observed to cross from a wave ripple field (Zone 2a) to a sand ridge (Zone 1) and then back into a wave ripple field (Zone 2a); this differential recovery is characteristic of Zones 1 and 2a. The sand ridge along the center section of the drag mark shows very little evidence of the drag mark; however, the beginning and end of the drag mark can be connected from the wave ripples on the western side to the eastern side of the sand ridge. This suggests that the areas with different seafloor textures appear to represent areas of different seabed mobility and rates of recovery.

Although additional multibeam surveys at are needed to estimate rates of bedform migration, seafloor disturbance features and the changes seen between the 2012 and 2016 datasets can help to assess the relative levels of mobility for the different seafloor textures seen in the project area.



4. SUMMARY

Based on our assessment of the seafloor through an analysis of high-resolution multibeam data, we conclude the following:

- During the work season of 2015, jacket foundations were installed for the five wind turbines. Construction was ended in December of 2015. Wind turbine towers, blades, and nacelles and the transmission cables were installed during 2016. This report describes the results from a survey conducted in May 2016, prior to the start of the 2016 construction season.
- Water depths within the survey area range from approximately EI. -20 to -30 meters MLLW. The regional bathymetry slopes to the northeast at an average gradient of 0.5 degrees.
- The Block Island Wind Farm project contains five existing WTG locations and is located on (and adjacent to) a moraine feature composed primarily of sand, gravel, cobbles, and potential boulders. Wind turbines were installed in areas where the seafloor sediments are predominantly fine- and medium-grained sand.
- Although water depths are relatively constant, variable sediment composition and metocean conditions exist over the survey area. This is evident due to a variety of bedforms of different scale (such as dunes and ripples) that were observed in the bathymetry data. Natural reworking of the seafloor and significant bedform migration is a dynamic process that is interpreted to be occurring within certain portions of the study area. This process was observed by comparing 2012 and 2016 bathymetric survey data.
- Several types of construction-related seafloor disturbances were imaged in the multibeam data in the vicinity of the WTG locations, including spud leg depressions, scour holes, spud leg drag marks, and anchor drag marks. In addition, these types of features are observable in the areas between the WTG locations. All seafloor disturbances were mapped and catalogued during this study; some were able to be correlated with specific WTG construction lift boats based on dimensions and reported vessel times on location.
- Seafloor disturbance activities were group into the following categories:
 - Spud: Circular depressions arranged in a pattern that match one of the lift boats and are generally located near a WTG. Likely created when a lift boat was on position during installation of a foundation or performing construction works (e.g. welding, painting, etc.) at the foundation.
 - Circular Depression: Circular depression not associated with a geometric pattern that would have been created when a lift boat was on position and had all 3 or 4 legs deployed. Circular depression was generally located away from WTG position and may be related to a spud depression or anchor drop.
 - Drag Mark: Elongated or linear disturbance feature likely created from the dragging of a spud leg or anchor.
 - \circ $\;$ Scour: Scour feature that formed around the leg of the jacket foundation.
- We interpreted 160 seafloor disturbance features that comprise an area of approximately 11,570 m². Circular depressions comprise the largest number of features, however, drag marks comprise the largest total area of the five different categories of features. Some features displayed evidence or recovery.
- Seafloor mobility within the survey area was classified into three zones (Zone 1–Zone 3) and two subzones (Zone 1a and Zone 2a) based on bedform shifts observed in the 2012 and 2016 bathymetry surveys. Seafloor mobility is highest within Zone 1, moderate in Zone 2, and low in Zone 3. In general, zones of higher seafloor mobility correlate with higher sediment infill/seafloor disturbance recovery rates. Geophysical evidence exists that indicates seafloor disturbances will



recover more rapidly (up to five centimeters per month) over areas of higher water column energy, shallower bathymetry, smaller particle size, faster current speeds, and/or higher sediment mobility. Therefore, seafloor disturbances within Zone 1 are likely to recover faster than those observed in Zones 2 or 3.

• Future bathymetric surveys will be used to update the seafloor mobility zones and evaluate recovery from seafloor disturbances.



5. REFERENCES

Allen, J.R. (1982) Sedimentary Structures: Their Character and Physical Basis. Elsevier, New York, NY.

Ashley, G.M. (1990), "Classification of Large-Scale Subaqueous Bedforms: A New Look at an Old Problem," Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, Vol. 60, pp. 363-396.

Stow, Dorrik A.V., Hernández-Molina, Javier, Llave, Estefania, Sayago-Gil, Miriam, del Río, Victor Díaz, and Branson, Adam (2009), "Bedform-velocity matrix: The estimation of bottom current velocity from bedform observations," Geology, v.37, no. 4, p.327-330.

Van Rijn, L.C. (1993) Principle of Fluid Flow and Surface Waves in Rivers, Estuaries, Seas, and Ocean. Aqua, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.



FIGURE 1



Offshore Rhode Island

N:/Projects/04_2015/04_8115_0001_BOEM_RODEO/Block/sland_R/\Outputs/Post_Con_Survey_1/\/XDFig-1_Study_Area.mxd, 8/5/2016, wcupples

BOEM Project No. 04.81150001







Notes:

4556000

1 4555000

4554000

4553000

1. Fugro 2016 multibeam bathymetry was collected on May 11 through 12, 2016.

2. NOAA (2012) multibeam bathymetric data is from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) hydrographic survey of Block Island Sound, New York. This survey was conducted August 25 through August 29, 2012.

3. Bathymetric data is a compilation of NOAA sounding files in the area that were collected between 1938 to 1979.

4. Insets are in reference to Figure 9.



Coordinate System: UTM 19N, NAD83, Meter

FUGRO 2016 BATHYMETRY

Seafloor Monitoring Study Block Island Wind Farm and Transmission Project Offshore Rhode Island

FIGURE 2a

BOEM Project No. 04.81150001







1 4556000

4555000

4554000

4553000

Coordinate System: UTM 19N, NAD83, Meter

INTERPRETED SEAFLOOR DISTURBANCE FEATURES

Seafloor Monitoring Study

Block Island Wind Farm and Transmission Project Offshore Rhode Island

FIGURE 2b



Notes:

1. Vessel names and locations are based on DWW Daily Briefings for Mariners posted by DWW on the BIWF website.

2. Refer to Appendix B for vessel specifications.



APPROXIMATE VESSEL LOCATIONS JULY TO SEPTEMBER 2015

Seafloor Monitoring Study Block Island Wind Farm and Transmission Project Offshore Rhode Island

FIGURE 3a



Notes:

1. Vessel names and locations are based on DWW Daily Briefings for Mariners posted by DWW on the

BIWF website.

2. Refer to Appendix B for vessel specifications.



APPROXIMATE VESSEL LOCATIONS OCTOBER TO DECEMBER 2015

Seafloor Monitoring Study Block Island Wind Farm and Transmission Project Offshore Rhode Island

FIGURE 3b



Table 3																																					
				Apr	-16									May-16																							
Vessel Name	Vessel Type	Site	25	26	27	28	29	30		1 2	3	4	5	67	8	9 1	.0	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
W533	Derrick Crane	WTG1																																			
	Barge	WTG2																																			
		WTG3																																			
		WTG4													П																						
		WTG5																																			
W526	Derrick Crane	WTG1		-		-																	-														
	Barge	WTG2		-		-	-			+				+	-	-		+		_			-				-			-				-			
	Durge	WTG3		-		-	-			+	-		-	+	-	-	-	+	-	_	_		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-			
		WTGA		-		-	-			-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_			-				-		-	-	-	-	-	-			
		WTG4		-		-				-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	_			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
		WIG5					-			-	-		-	-		-	-	-	_										-	-	-	-	-	-			
			_							-	-		_	-		_	-	_	_										-	-	_	_	_				
W297	Cargo Barge	WIG1					_			-	-		_	-		_	_	_	_										_	_	_	_	_	_			
		WTG2								_			_	_			_	_	_																		
		WTG3											_				_		_																		
		WTG4																																			
		WTG5																																			
JMC300	Cargo Barge	WTG1											Τ			T	T	Τ																			
		WTG2									Γ		Ť																								
		WTG3									Γ		Ť																								
		WTG4																												-							
		WTG5		-		-				-				-		-		-		_			-				-			-							
		WIGS		-		-	-			+	-		-	+	-	-	-	+	-	_	_		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
1146204	Corgo Borgo	WTC1		-		-	-			+	-		-	+	-	+	+	+	-	_			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
JIVIC 304	Cargo barge	WIGI		-		-	-			+	-		-	+		+	+	+	-	_			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
		WIG2	_							-	-		_	-		_	-	-	_	_									-	-	_	_	_				
		WIG3								-	-		_	-		_	-	-	_	_									-	-	_	_	_				
		WTG4								_	_		_	_		_	_	_	_											_							
		WTG5								_			_	_			_	_	_																		
													_				_																				
Marmac300	Cargo Barge	WTG1																																			
		WTG2																																			
		WTG3																																			
		WTG4																																			
		WTG5													П																						
L/B Robert	Lift Boat	WTG1																																			
-,		WTG2		-		-	-			+				+		-		+		_			-				-			-				-			
		WTG3	-	-		-	-			-	-		-	-	-	-	-	+		_			-				-		-	-	-	-	-	-			
		WTGA		-		-	-			+	-		-	+	-	-	-	+	-	_			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		WTG4		-		-				-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	_			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
		WIGS		-		-	-			+	-		-	+		-	+	+	-	_			-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-			
			_				-			+	-		-	+		-	-	+	-					_	_	_		_	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Wotan	Derrick Crane	WIG1	_				-			_	-		_	_		_	_	_	_										-	-	_	_	_	-			
	Barge	WTG2	_							_	_		_	_		_	_	_	_											_							
		WTG3								_	_		_	_		_	_	_	_																		
		WTG4											_				_																				
		WTG5																																			
L/B Michael	Lift Boat	WTG1		х	х	х	х	х		ĸ															х	х	х										
Eymard		WTG2								x			Τ			T	Τ	Τ										x									
		WTG3																											x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
		WTG4									T		+		\square		x	х																			_
		WTG5									T				\square					x	x	x	x	x													
				-		-			\vdash	1	t	Η	+	1	\square	+		-									-			1	-	-					
I/B Lacie	Lift Boat	WTG1		-		-	-		\vdash	+	1	\square	+	+	\square	+	+	+	-	-			-				-			1			-	-	×.	Y.	
Evmard	2	WTG2		-		-	-		\vdash	+	1	\square	+	+	\square	+	+	+	-	-			-				-			1	x.	×.	x	x		~	x
,		WTG3					-		\vdash	-	1	\square	+	-	\square	v	×	×		x								-	-	1							
		WTGA	-	-		-	-		\vdash	-	-	\square	+	-	\vdash	^	^	~		-	v	~	v	v	v	v	v		-	-	-	-	-	-			
		WTCF		-		-	-		\vdash	+	-	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	+	-	_	~	~	~	~	~	X	×			1	-	-	-	-			
		W165	_	-		-	-		\vdash	-	-	\square	-	-	$\left \cdot \right $	-	-	-	_				-				-	X	X	X	_	-	-	-	\vdash		
		1																																			

Note:

1. Vessel names and locations are based on DWW Daily Briefings for Mariners posted by DWW on the BIWF website.

2. Refer to Appendix B for vessel specifications.

APPROXIMATE VESSEL LOCATIONS APRIL THROUGH MAY 2016

Seafloor Monitoring Study Block Island Wind Farm and Transmission Project Offshore Rhode Island

FIGURE 3c









BOEM Project No. 04.81150001





BOEM



UGRO

4555150

4555100

4555050

N:Projects/04_2015/04_8115_0001_BOEM_RODEO/Blocksland_RI/Outputs/Post_Con_Survey_11MXD/Fig-5b_WTG2_Bathymetry_with_Interp.mxd, 220/2017, greenwoodm















4554150

UGRO

4554100

4554050







Offshore Rhode Island

4553700

4553700







Legend

2016 Fugro Bathymetry (Meter, MLLW)

- High : -17

Low : -31

Interpreted Seafloor Disturbance Features

Spud Location

Scour

Drag Mark

Circular Depression

Notes:

- 1. Refer to Figure 2 for location of images.
- Inset A: Probable spud locations around WTG 1 from L/B *Roberts*. Each depression has an average diameter of 7 m with an average depth of approximately 0.13 m.
- Inset B: Two drag marks with lengths of 152 m (left) and 215 m (right), Each drag mark has an average width of 4 m and an approximate maximum depth of 0.12 m.
- Inset C: Series of circular depressions or drag marks from vessels at wind turbine. Depressions range in depth from 0.08-0.22 in depth.
- Inset D: Scour depressions located around WTG 4. Predominately elongated in the northwest direction. Have an average maximum depth of 0.09 m.



Coordinate System: UTM 19N, NAD83, Meter

SELECTED SEAFLOOR DISTURBANCE FEATURES

Seafloor Monitoring Study Block Island Wind Farm and Transmission Project Offshore Rhode Island





Legend

Interpreted Sediment Mobility Zones (based on particle size, morphology, and comparison between 2012 and 2016 surveys)

1 High Mot	ility Ridges or Shoals (Fine Sand)
1a Higl	her Mobility Sand Dunes
2 Moderate	Mobility (Medium-Coarse Sand)
2a San	d Ripple Area
3 Low Mob (Gravel, 0	ility Morraine Ridges Cobbles, and Boulders)
Data Example Location	•WTG1 •WTG2 •WTG3 •WTG4 55 0 800 1,600



EXAMPLE OF RIPPLE BEDFORMS

Seafloor Monitoring Study Block Island Wind Farm and Transmission Project Offshore Rhode Island







EXAMPLE OF DUNE BEDFORMS

Block Island Wind Farm and Transmission Project







Notes:

1. 2012 bathymetry was collected by Ocean Surveys Inc. (OSI) from January 11 to September 19, 2012 using a multibeam echosounder.

2. 2016 bathymetry was collected by Fugro from May 11 to 12, 2016 using a multibeam echosounder.



Coordinate System: UTM 19N, NAD83, Meter

SURFICIAL SEDIMENT MOBILITY COMPARISON SHOWING 2012 BATHYMETRY Seafloor Monitoring Study

Block Island Wind Farm and Transmission Project Offshore Rhode Island







Notes:

1. 2012 bathymetry was collected by Ocean Surveys Inc. (OSI) from January 11 to September 19, 2012 using a multibeam echosounder.

2. 2016 bathymetry was collected by Fugro from May 11 to 12, 2016 using a multibeam echosounder.



Coordinate System: UTM 19N, NAD83, Meter

SURFICIAL SEDIMENT MOBILITY COMPARISON SHOWING 2016 BATHYMETRY Seafloor Monitoring Study

Block Island Wind Farm and Transmission Project Offshore Rhode Island

BOEM Project No. 04.81150001



NPost_Con_Survey_1MXD\Fig-14_Seafloor_Morphology.mxd, 3/7/2017, greenwoodm

4_2015/04_8115_0001_BOEM_RODEO/Blockkland_R\Outputs\Post_Con_Survey_1\WXD\Fig-14_Seafloor_Morphology.mxd









Legend

Drag Marks (dashed where inferred)

Bedform Feature Outline

Interpreted Sediment Mobility Zones

(based on particle size, morphology, and comparison between 2012 and 2016 surveys)



High Mobility Ridges or Shoals (Fine Sand)



Moderate Mobility (Medium-Coarse Sand)

Sand Ripple Area

Inset Map Locations





Coordinate System: UTM 19N, NAD83, Meter

INFERRED SEAFLOOR RECOVERY EXAMPLE Seafloor Monitoring Study Block Island Wind Farm and Transmission Project Offshore Rhode Island

CHARTS







A. BATHYMETRIC SURVEY VESSEL SPECIFICATIONS

		Х	Y	Z
IMU (CRP)	Applanix IMU	0.000	0.000	0.000
MBES Transmitter	Reson 7125	-0.905	-2.795	2.146
MBES Receiver	Reson 7125	-0.728	-2.795	2.170
Primary GPS	Trimble L1/L2	3.575	-1.905	-3.353
Secondary GPS	Trimble L1/L2	3.176	2.055	-3.422
Static Draft Mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.000

<u>Notes</u>

POS M/V Axis

Units: Meters

VESSEL OFFSET DIAGRAM FOR THE R/V JAMIE HANNA

Seafloor Monitoring Study Block Island Wind Farm and Transmission Project Offshore Rhode Island

FIGURE A-1

X +tve toward bow Y +tve toward stbd Z +tve into water

B. INSTALLATION VESSEL SPECIFICATIONS

VESSEL SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE *L/B ROBERT*

Seafloor Monitoring Study Block Island Wind Farm and Transmission Project Offshore Rhode Island

FIGURE B-1

VESSEL SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE L/B MICHAEL EYMARD

Seafloor Monitoring Study Block Island Wind Farm and Transmission Project Offshore Rhode Island

FIGURE B-2

VESSEL SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE L/B LACIE EYMARD

Seafloor Monitoring Study Block Island Wind Farm and Transmission Project Offshore Rhode Island

FIGURE B-3

C. CATALOG OF SEAFLOOR DISTURBANCE FEATURES

Feature ID	Northing ¹ (m)	Easting ¹ (m)	Elevation ² (m)	Feature Perimeter (m)	Feature Area (m²)	Max Depth (m)	Feature Interpretation	Attributed Vessel
FO	4554820	288297	-25.0	54	120	0.17	Drag Mark	Unknown
F1	4554840	288306	-25.0	39	82	0.16	Drag Mark	Unknown
F2	4554870	288395	-24.8	36	72	0.14	Drag Mark	Unknown
F3	4554900	288433	-24.8	37	76	0.22	Drag Mark	Unknown
F4	4554770	288418	-25.4	33	59	0.17	Drag Mark	Unknown
F5	4555020	288625	-25.4	49	108	0.13	Drag Mark	Unknown
F6	4555470	289343	-27.0	441	983	0.12	Drag Mark	Unknown
F7	4555440	289322	-26.9	310	603	0.11	Drag Mark	Unknown
F8	4554860	289072	-26.2	380	595	0.15	Drag Mark	Unknown
F9	4554290	288314	-24.4	86	205	0.13	Drag Mark	Unknown
F10	4554150	288357	-25.1	54	97	0.11	Drag Mark	Unknown
F11	4554320	287986	-25.6	30	59	0.25	Circular Depression	Unknown
F12	4554260	287784	-25.7	25	38	0.13	Circular Depression	Unknown

 Table C-1.
 Seafloor Disturbance Features (Pre-May 2016)

Notes: See Chart 2 for the location of each seafloor disturbance feature.

¹ UTM Zone 19, NAD83, Meter

Feature ID	Northing ¹ (m)	Easting ¹ (m)	Elevation ² (m)	Feature Perimeter (m)	Feature Area (m²)	Max Depth (m)	Feature Interpretation	Attributed Vessel
F13	4554370	287624	-26.2	19	24	0.09	Circular Depression	Unknown
F14	4553940	287279	-25.4	23	34	0.13	Circular Depression	Unknown
F15	4553960	286913	-23.5	95	155	0.12	Drag Mark	Unknown
F16	4555050	288655	-25.7	35	74	0.2	Drag Mark	Unknown
F17	4555010	288601	-25.3	26	42	0.15	Circular Depression	Unknown
F18	4555000	288653	-25.4	23	34	0.09	Circular Depression	Unknown
F19	4555080	288665	-25.9	22	35	0.07	Circular Depression	Unknown
F20	4555140	288574	-26.3	33	69	0.14	Circular Depression	Unknown
F21	4555180	288585	-26.7	30	48	0.18	Drag Mark	Unknown
F22	4555190	288606	-26.7	37	56	0.12	Drag Mark	Unknown
F23	4555210	288597	-27.0	24	31	0.13	Drag Mark	Unknown
F24	4554850	289258	-26.0	50	73	0.16	Drag Mark	Unknown
F25	4555940	289623	-28.0	60	151	0.09	Drag Mark	Unknown
F26	4556030	289655	-28.1	29	51	0.08	Circular Depression	Unknown

Notes: See Chart 2 for the location of each seafloor disturbance feature.

¹ UTM Zone 19, NAD83, Meter

Feature ID	Northing ¹ (m)	Easting ¹ (m)	Elevation ² (m)	Feature Perimeter (m)	Feature Area (m²)	Max Depth (m)	Feature Interpretation	Attributed Vessel
F27	4556030	289632	-28.1	30	53	0.08	Circular Depression	Unknown
F28	4556120	289641	-28.2	26	41	0.1	Circular Depression	Unknown
F29	4556140	289665	-28.3	28	54	0.19	Circular Depression	Unknown
F30	4556100	289334	-29.6	27	51	0.07	Circular Depression	Unknown
F31	4556120	289449	-29.0	35	74	0.11	Circular Depression	Unknown
F32	4556120	289535	-28.8	19	26	0.05	Circular Depression	Unknown
F33	4556100	289519	-28.7	31	56	0.1	Circular Depression	Unknown
F34	4553360	286958	-22.0	195	247	0.2	Drag Mark	Unknown
F35	4553420	286922	-21.8	52	70	0.1	Drag Mark	Unknown
F36	4553310	287048	-21.7	146	222	0.16	Drag Mark	Unknown
F37	4554690	288094	-26.3	18	22	0.1	Circular Depression	Unknown
F38	4554690	288098	-26.3	15	14	0.06	Circular Depression	Unknown
F39	4554720	288019	-26.4	20	28	0.14	Circular Depression	Unknown
F40	4554700	287980	-26.4	19	24	0.06	Circular Depression	Unknown

ω

Notes: See Chart 2 for the location of each seafloor disturbance feature.

¹ UTM Zone 19, NAD83, Meter

Feature ID	Northing ¹ (m)	Easting¹ (m)	Elevation ² (m)	Feature Perimeter (m)	Feature Area (m²)	Max Depth (m)	Feature Interpretation	Attributed Vessel
F41	4554830	287787	-26.8	12	11	0.1	Circular Depression	Unknown
F42	4554830	287846	-26.6	18	23	0.14	Circular Depression	Unknown
F43	4555370	289084	-27.2	25	44	0.09	Circular Depression	Unknown
F44	4555390	289036	-27.5	21	32	0.07	Circular Depression	Unknown
F45	4555380	288895	-28.0	52	80	0.13	Drag Mark	Unknown
F46	4555350	288826	-28.1	25	43	0.08	Circular Depression	Unknown
F47	4555380	288829	-28.2	26	50	0.06	Circular Depression	Unknown
F48	4555460	288838	-28.5	37	76	0.07	Circular Depression	Unknown
F49	4555410	288995	-27.7	28	46	0.08	Circular Depression	Unknown
F50	4555470	288954	-28.0	32	59	0.1	Circular Depression	Unknown
F51	4555450	288905	-28.2	18	22	0.05	Circular Depression	Unknown
F52	4555340	289077	-27.2	33	49	0.08	Circular Depression	Unknown
F53	4555380	289154	-27.1	18	24	0.06	Circular Depression	Unknown
F54	4555470	289071	-27.8	25	43	0.09	Circular Depression	Unknown

4

Notes: See Chart 2 for the location of each seafloor disturbance feature.

¹ UTM Zone 19, NAD83, Meter

Feature ID	Northing ¹ (m)	Easting ¹ (m)	Elevation ² (m)	Feature Perimeter (m)	Feature Area (m ²)	Max Depth (m)	Feature Interpretation	Attributed Vessel
F55	4555580	289074	-28.4	21	26	0.09	Circular Depression	Unknown
F56	4555560	289223	-27.8	32	67	0.18	Circular Depression	Unknown
F57	4555600	289430	-27.9	22	34	0.12	Circular Depression	Unknown
F58	4555420	289304	-26.8	32	76	0.17	Circular Depression	Unknown
F59	4555450	289541	-26.5	28	52	0.13	Circular Depression	Unknown
F60	4555420	289514	-26.5	29	47	0.08	Circular Depression	Unknown
F61	4555420	289533	-26.5	17	21	0.03	Circular Depression	Unknown
F62	4555470	289629	-26.5	25	44	0.08	Circular Depression	Unknown
F63	4555620	289412	-28.1	15	16	0.06	Circular Depression	Unknown
F64	4554900	289275	-25.7	27	46	0.11	Circular Depression	Unknown
F65	4554940	289309	-25.6	33	78	0.09	Circular Depression	Unknown
F66	4554920	289234	-25.7	23	38	0.06	Circular Depression	Unknown
F67	4554850	289053	-26.4	23	37	0.14	Circular Depression	Unknown
F68	4554820	289105	-27.0	24	38	0.2	Circular Depression	Unknown

U

Notes: See Chart 2 for the location of each seafloor disturbance feature.

¹ UTM Zone 19, NAD83, Meter

Feature ID	Northing ¹ (m)	Easting ¹ (m)	Elevation ² (m)	Feature Perimeter (m)	Feature Area (m²)	Max Depth (m)	Feature Interpretation	Attributed Vessel
F69	4554950	288323	-24.3	28	57	0.1	Circular Depression	Unknown
F70	4554900	288294	-24.4	24	35	0.1	Circular Depression	Unknown
F71	4554870	288253	-24.6	25	42	0.06	Circular Depression	Unknown
F72	4554830	288332	-25.0	27	51	0.11	Circular Depression	Unknown
F73	4554190	288330	-24.6	21	31	0.07	Circular Depression	Unknown
F74	4554220	288352	-24.4	24	41	0.05	Circular Depression	Unknown
F75	4554800	288452	-25.4	43	106	0.09	Drag Mark	Unknown
F76	4555080	288517	-25.6	41	64	0.25	Drag Mark	Unknown
F77	4555740	289474	-28.1	64	89	0.07	Drag Mark	Unknown
F78	4554100	287659	-26.2	70	135	0.22	Scour	Unknown
F79	4555170	288618	-26.6	24	38	0.08	Circular Depression	Unknown
F80	4554590	288327	-26.2	17	19	0.07	Circular Depression	Unknown
F81	4554090	287646	-26.0	36	79	0.08	Scour	Unknown
F82	4555740	289545	-27.8	33	80	0.1	Spud	L/B Robert

ი

Notes: See Chart 2 for the location of each seafloor disturbance feature.

¹ UTM Zone 19, NAD83, Meter

Feature ID	Northing ¹ (m)	Easting ¹ (m)	Elevation ² (m)	Feature Perimeter (m)	Feature Area (m²)	Max Depth (m)	Feature Interpretation	Attributed Vessel
F83	4555050	288622	-25.7	27	51	0.05	Circular Depression	Unknown
F84	4554920	288672	-25.6	25	45	0.05	Circular Depression	Unknown
F85	4554240	288261	-24.9	24	36	0.06	Circular Depression	Unknown
F86	4554790	289218	-26.7	22	32	0.08	Circular Depression	Unknown
F87	4554780	288338	-25.3	33	82	0.09	Circular Depression	Unknown
F88	4554830	288386	-24.8	31	68	0.05	Circular Depression	Unknown
F89	4554540	288325	-23.8	27	53	0.08	Scour	Unknown
F90	4554840	288214	-25.0	33	69	0.08	Circular Depression	Unknown
F91	4553920	286779	-22.0	23	39	0.12	Circular Depression	Unknown
F92	4555700	289557	-27.5	25	47	0.12	Spud	L/B Robert
F93	4554590	288330	-26.3	22	32	0.07	Circular Depression	Unknown
F94	4554560	288311	-26.1	31	71	0.06	Scour	Unknown
F95	4555760	289539	-27.8	37	99	0.13	Spud	L/B Robert
F96	4555720	289520	-27.9	28	56	0.16	Spud	L/B Robert

 $\overline{}$

Notes: See Chart 2 for the location of each seafloor disturbance feature.

¹UTM Zone 19, NAD83, Meter ² Elevation represents centroid location of the feature

Feature ID	Northing ¹ (m)	Easting ¹ (m)	Elevation ² (m)	Feature Perimeter (m)	Feature Area (m²)	Max Depth (m)	Feature Interpretation	Attributed Vessel
F97	4555780	289517	-28.0	36	94	0.16	Spud	L/B Robert
F98	4555750	289472	-28.2	24	43	0.15	Spud	L/B Robert
F99	4555790	289456	-28.4	21	32	0.19	Spud	L/B Robert
F100	4555770	289493	-28.2	27	51	0.11	Spud	L/B Robert
F101	4555730	289481	-28.3	32	62	0.38	Spud	L/B Michael Eymard
F102	4555130	288971	-26.8	21	30	0.1	Spud	L/B Robert
F103	4555720	289486	-28.1	17	19	0.15	Spud	L/B Michael Eymard
F104	4555720	289495	-28.2	18	19	0.33	Spud	L/B Michael Eymard
F105	4555730	289499	-28.1	17	17	0.20	Spud	L/B Michael Eymard
F106	4555730	289491	-28.3	14	12	0.20	Spud	L/B Michael Eymard
F107	4555730	289488	-28.2	19	21	0.20	Spud	L/B Michael Eymard

Notes: See Chart 2 for the location of each seafloor disturbance feature.

¹ UTM Zone 19, NAD83, Meter

Feature ID	Northing ¹ (m)	Easting ¹ (m)	Elevation ² (m)	Feature Perimeter (m)	Feature Area (m²)	Max Depth (m)	Feature Interpretation	Attributed Vessel
F108	4555700	289477	-28.0	19	21	0.14	Spud	L/B Michael Eymard
F109	4555700	289470	-28.1	19	19	0.15	Spud	L/B Michael Eymard
F110	4555130	288947	-26.7	28	55	0.06	Scour	Unknown
F111	4555150	288948	-26.8	21	34	0.1	Spud	L/B Robert
F112	4555170	288984	-26.9	21	34	0.07	Spud	L/B Robert
F113	4555110	288930	-26.6	40	99	0.11	Scour	Unknown
F114	4555090	288927	-26.5	23	35	0.13	Spud	L/B Michael Eymard
F115	4555080	288943	-26.5	22	32	0.12	Spud	L/B Michael Eymard
F116	4555060	288925	-26.4	15	15	0.12	Spud	L/B Michael Eymard
F117	4554090	287674	-25.9	30	45	0.08	Scour	Unknown
F118	4554090	287670	-25.9	20	20	0.06	Scour	Unknown
F119	4554080	287682	-25.9	24	32	0.06	Scour	Unknown

Notes: See Chart 2 for the location of each seafloor disturbance feature.

¹ UTM Zone 19, NAD83, Meter ² Elevation represents centroid location of the feature

ဖ

BOEM Project No. 04.81150001

Feature ID	Northing ¹ (m)	Easting ¹ (m)	Elevation ² (m)	Feature Perimeter (m)	Feature Area (m²)	Max Depth (m)	Feature Interpretation	Attributed Vessel
F120	4554070	287663	-26.0	33	75	0.04	Scour	Unknown
F121	4554060	287630	-26.0	12	11	0.12	Scour	Unknown
F122	4555750	289524	-23.7	31	66	0.1	Scour	Unknown
F123	4555770	289508	-28.0	32	78	0.06	Scour	Unknown
F124	4555750	289491	-25.4	36	99	0.07	Scour	Unknown
F125	4555730	289508	-28.0	33	65	0.08	Scour	Unknown
F126	4555110	288964	-26.6	30	62	0.02	Scour	Unknown
F127	4555100	288947	-18.7	25	47	0.03	Scour	Unknown
F128	4554570	288324	-13.8	37	98	0.06	Scour	Unknown
F129	4554560	288339	-26.0	25	42	0.03	Scour	Unknown
F130	4554070	287634	-17.7	43	90	0.12	Scour	Unknown
F131	4554050	287648	-26.0	32	52	0.05	Scour	Unknown
F132	4553650	286914	-18.9	21	32	0.05	Scour	Unknown
F133	4553670	286929	-23.6	16	18	0.09	Scour	Unknown

10

Notes: See Chart 2 for the location of each seafloor disturbance feature.

¹UTM Zone 19, NAD83, Meter ² Elevation represents centroid location of the feature

Feature ID	Northing ¹ (m)	Easting ¹ (m)	Elevation ² (m)	Feature Perimeter (m)	Feature Area (m²)	Max Depth (m)	Feature Interpretation	Attributed Vessel
F134	4553670	286899	-23.3	19	22	0.1	Scour	Unknown
F135	4553680	286913	-19.2	24	38	0.02	Scour	Unknown
F136	4553280	287071	-21.7	93	155	0.05	Drag Mark	Unknown
F137	4553290	287037	-21.1	58	65	0.1	Drag Mark	Unknown
F138	4555090	288255	-25.3	48	40	0.09	Drag Mark	Unknown
F139	4555090	288225	-25.1	91	60	0.06	Drag Mark	Unknown
F140	4555030	288476	-25.1	86	34	0.06	Drag Mark	Unknown
F141	4554580	288290	-26.1	68	30	0.03	Drag Mark	Unknown
F142	4554580	288317	-26.2	53	19	0.06	Drag Mark	Unknown
F143	4554380	287514	-26.3	76	69	0.11	Drag Mark	Unknown
F144	4554070	287607	-26.0	164	176	0.02	Drag Mark	Unknown
F145	4554090	287595	-26.1	146	131	0.02	Drag Mark	Unknown
F146	4554120	287565	-26.1	112	109	0.02	Drag Mark	Unknown
F147	4554050	287615	-26.0	272	292	0.03	Drag Mark	Unknown

Notes: See Chart 2 for the location of each seafloor disturbance feature.

¹UTM Zone 19, NAD83, Meter ² Elevation represents centroid location of the feature

Feature ID	Northing ¹ (m)	Easting ¹ (m)	Elevation ² (m)	Feature Perimeter (m)	Feature Area (m²)	Max Depth (m)	Feature Interpretation	Attributed Vessel
F148	4553990	287487	-26.3	142	121	0.02	Drag Mark	Unknown
F149	4553990	287423	-26.1	291	225	0.05	Drag Mark	Unknown
F150	4553930	286775	-22.1	141	151	0.08	Drag Mark	Unknown
F151	4553990	286768	-22.9	29	39	0.21	Drag Mark	Unknown
F152	4554020	286959	-24.2	13	12	0.15	Circular Depression	Unknown
F153	4553510	287184	-23.3	10	7	0.12	Circular Depression	Unknown
F154	4554140	287279	-25.8	28	41	0.07	Drag Mark	Unknown
F155	4554640	287558	-27.0	16	18	0.12	Circular Depression	Unknown
F156	4554330	287759	-26.1	12	9	0.20	Circular Depression	Unknown
F157	4554320	287709	-26.0	16	18	0.10	Circular Depression	Unknown
F158	4556180	289764	-28.0	161	129	0.02	Drag Mark	Unknown
F159	4556180	289793	-28.0	90	63	0.03	Drag Mark	Unknown

Notes: See Chart 2 for the location of each seafloor disturbance feature.

¹UTM Zone 19, NAD83, Meter ² Elevation represents centroid location of the feature

12

BOEM Project No. 04.81150001

